

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	TE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,560	03/23/200	4	David L. Marvit	073338.0195 (04-50467 FLA	4252
5073 BAKER BOT		07/20/2007	•	EXAM	INER
2001 ROSS A SUITE 600	VENUE			LIANG,	REGINA
DALLAS, TX	75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2629	
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			•	07/20/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mike.furr@bakerbotts.com ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/807,560	MARVIT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Regina Liang	2629					
Pariod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	• •	V 10 05T TO 5VDIDE 6	MONTHAN OF THEFT (CO.) PANO					
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Divisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 June 2007</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit								
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-17 and 19-21</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-17 and 19-21</u> is/are reject	cted.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.					
•44-	<i>w</i> .>							
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [T] Into-day	Summary (PTO-413)					
	ce of References Cited (P10-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	Informal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/807,560 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of last action is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-17, 19-21 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,180,500. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are obvious over each other.

The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of this application and claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7,180,500.

Claim 1 of this application	Claim 7 U.S. Patent No. 7,180,500
A motion controlled handheld device	A motion controlled handheld device

Application/Control Number: 10/807,560

Art Unit: 2629

comprising:	comprising:
a display having a viewable surface and	a display having a viewable surface and
operable to generate an image;	operable to generate an image; and
a gesture database maintaining a plurality of	a gesture database maintaining a plurality of
predefined gestures, each gesture defined by a	gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of
motion of the device with respect to a first	the device with respect to a first position of the
position of the device;	device;
an application having a plurality of predefined	an application having a plurality of predefined
commands;	commands;
a motion detection module operable to detect	a motion detection module operable to detect
motion of the handheld device within three	motion of the handheld device within three
dimensions and to identify components of the	dimensions and to identify components of the
motion in relation to the viewable surface;	motion in relation to the viewable surface;
a gesture mapping database comprising a	a gesture mapping database comprising a
command map for the application, the	command map for the application, the
command map comprising mappings of the	command map comprising a mapping of the
selected gestures to the corresponding	new gesture to the associated command as
commands as indicated by the user input;	indicated by the user input;
and a control module operable to load the	and wherein the control module is further
application, to track movement of the handheld	operable to: load the application; track
device using the motion detection module, to	movement of the handheld device using the
compare the tracked movement against the	motion detection module; match the tracked

Application/Control Number: 10/807,560

Art Unit: 2629

gestures to determine a matching one of the gestures, to identify, using the command map, the command mapped to the matching gesture, and to perform the identified command using the application;

movement against the new gesture; identify, using the command map, the associated command mapped to the new gesture; and perform the associated identified command using the application.

wherein the control module is further operable to: detect an indication to record a new gesture; detect a stabilization of the components of the motion of the device; upon detecting the stabilization, determine a base reference position; record movement of the device with respect to the base reference position; detect an indication to stop recording the new gesture; define the new gesture using the recorded movement of the device with respect to the base reference position; and store the new gesture in the gesture database;

a control module operable to: detect an indication to record a new gesture; detect a stabilization of the components of the motion of the device; upon detecting the stabilization, determine a base reference position; record movement of the device with respect to the base reference position; detect an indication to stop recording the new gesture; define the new gesture using the recorded movement of the device with respect to the base reference position; and store the new gesture in the gesture database;

a user interface operable to receive user input associating selected ones of the gestures with corresponding ones of the command sand wherein the user interface is further operable to receive user input associating the new gesture

a user interface operable to receive user input associating the new gesture with one of the commands;

Art Unit: 2629

with at least one of the commands.	

As can be seen above, claim 1 of this application is similar to claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7,180,500.

In view of the above analysis, applicant's claim 1 and paten claim 7 are not patentably distinct from one another and in absence of a terminal disclaimer would result in an unjustifiable time wise extension of applicant patent.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Regina Liang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2674